

FEMINIST THEORY: AN INTEGRATED REVIEW OF WAVES, PARADIGMS, AND GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES.

Asnath Naftali Remtulla^{1*}, Vina Salviana Darvina Soedarwo², Tri Sulistyaningsih³, Rinikso Moelia Kartono⁴

¹²³⁴University of Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia.

email: asnathremtullagmailcom@webmail.umm.ac.id

email: vina@umm.ac.id

email: sulistyaningsih@umm.ac.id

email: rinekso@umm.ac.id

*Corresponding Author:

asnathremtullagmailcom@webmail.umm.ac.id

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received : 30-04-2026

Revised : 07-05-2026

Accepted : 15-06-2026

KEYWORDS

Feminist Theory, Waves of Feminism, Patriarchy Structure, Gender Equality, and Social Justice.

ABSTRACT

This paper gives critical analytical overview of the feminist theories focusing on their development over time, ideological components, and critical discussions. The paper traces four major waves of feminist thought, and examines fourteen Feminism schools of thought includes liberal, radical, Marxist and socialist, Black, postcolonial, psychoanalytic, postmodern feminism, ecofeminism, transnational feminism, intersectional, cultural, existential, Islamic and digital. Both theoretical strands result of particular historical circumstances and intellectual cultures, focused on different aspects of gender oppression, power, identity, and social justice. Discussion shows shift of feminist theory to legal, political equality and intersectional forms of oppression includes race, class, sexuality, colonialism and digital capitalism. Based on the major feminist scholars and theories, analysis reveals feminist movements upheld evolving social, economic, and political situations. Paper highlights shortcomings of feminist discourse and address the means of localized and inclusive especially Global South. Paper synthesizes classical and modern feminist thought, which proves useful in gaining a better understanding that feminism is a dynamic and transforming body of knowledge, aimed disrupting structural inequalities and encouraging gender justice. The study finds, feminism theories are analytical concepts that could be used to analyze relations of power and social change in various cultural settings

This is an open access article under the CC-BY-SA license.



INTRODUCTION

Feminist theory rests on the premise that gender is not merely a biological fact but a socially constructed system of power relations, reproduced through institutions such as the family, economy, education, religion, and the

state ([Bhattacharya et al., 2022](#)). Its central purpose is to challenge patriarchal ideology and transform the structures that sustain women's subordination.

At its core, feminist theory engages questions of power, agency, and justice, contesting the male-centered production of knowledge in disciplines such as philosophy, sociology, and political science. Harding's notion of "situated knowledge" ([in Elias & Yahya, 2022](#)) captures this epistemological stance: truth is shaped by one's social position, and the personal is therefore political. Individual experience reflects wider systemic oppression.

This paper provides an integrated review of feminist theory's waves and paradigms, tracing fourteen schools of thought from the eighteenth century to the present and examining how each has been received, applied, and critiqued, including within Global South contexts.

Evolution of Feminist Theory

Feminist theory draws on multiple intellectual traditions: the Frankfurt School, Marxism, liberalism, and radicalism, but its analytical core is most deeply rooted in Marxist and socialist thought, which supplied tools for examining class, labor, and economic exploitation ([Bhattacharya et al., 2022](#)). Marxist and socialist feminists adapted Marx's class analysis to show how capitalism depends on both paid and unpaid domestic labor, treating women's oppression as a product of economic structure ([Armstrong, 2020](#)), even though Marx himself did not theorize gender or value reproductive labor ([Best, 2021](#)). Since the 1970s, theorists such as Silvia Federici, Mariarosa Dalla Costa, and Nancy Fraser have brought social reproduction into economic analysis, embedding unpaid labor within capitalist functioning ([Federici, 2018](#)); more recent feminist theory has extended this lineage toward intersectionality, postcolonialism, and critiques of neoliberalism ([Disch & Hawkesworth, 2016](#)).

The Frankfurt School's critical theory, its emphasis on power, ideology, and emancipation offered feminists analytical tools for understanding patriarchy as a system of domination operating through culture, language, and institutions rather than individual prejudice ([Mohajan, 2022](#)). Feminist critical theorists extended this beyond class to analyze gender, race, and sexuality together ([Mojab & Carpenter, 2019](#); [Fotaki & Pullen, 2023](#)), producing a more reflexive, experience-grounded, and intersectional critical practice ([Grant, 2020](#); [Mert, 2024](#)).

Waves of Feminism

Feminist theory unfolds across multiple, sometimes overlapping waves, each shaped by distinct cultural, political, and historical conditions ([Varych, 2024](#); [Kark & Buengeler, 2024](#)). Rather than a single theory, it comprises interconnected approaches: liberal, radical, Marxist/socialist, Black, psychoanalytic, postmodern, ecofeminist, postcolonial, intersectional, and global feminism, each offering a different account of oppression and a different path to gender justice ([Dayrizadeh, 2020](#)). Global South scholars, particularly from Africa, Asia, and Latin America, have extended this discourse by situating feminism within postcolonial, cultural, and socioeconomic realities distinct from Western contexts.

The first wave (18th–19th centuries) centered on legal and political equality, led by figures such as Mary Wollstonecraft, who championed suffrage, property rights, and education ([Kark & Buengeler, 2024](#); [Li, 2023](#)). The second wave (1960s–1980s) expanded the critique to social, economic, and personal domains: radical feminists such as Shulamith Firestone and Catharine MacKinnon located oppression in patriarchal control of sexuality and reproduction ([Duriesmith & Meger, 2020](#)), while Marxist and socialist feminists tied gender inequality to capitalist class relations ([Armstrong, 2020](#)); Simone de Beauvoir and bell hooks further pushed feminist concerns toward consciousness-raising and the intersection of race and gender ([Hitchens, 2025](#); [Mandokhail & Mnazoor, 2024](#)).

The third wave (1980s–1990s) challenged the centering of white, middle-class women's experience. Kimberlé Crenshaw's theory of intersectionality (1989) explained how race, class, sexuality, and disability combine to produce distinct experiences of oppression ([Roth, 2021](#)), while Patricia Hill Collins and Judith Butler advanced, respectively, the matrix of domination and the performative construction of gender ([Cochran, 2022](#)).

The fourth wave (2010s–present) is defined by digital activism and a transnational, intersectional outlook, mobilizing around sexual violence and global gender inequality through platforms such as #MeToo ([Varych, 2024](#); [Han & Liu, 2024](#)), while foregrounding Global South voices and, within this wave, ecofeminist concerns linking the oppression of women and the environment ([Cochran, 2022](#); [Mohajan, 2022](#)).

The wave metaphor itself remains contested, since feminist movements tend to overlap rather than follow a strictly linear sequence, reflecting the heterogeneity and uneven geography of the movement ([Christou, 2024](#)).

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative method integrating historical analysis, theoretical synthesis, and comparative investigation. It traces the trajectory of feminist theory across four waves from the eighteenth century to the present, examining fourteen theoretical models through (1) historical-contextual analysis of each theory's socio-political emergence, (2) theoretical synthesis of core propositions and seminal texts, (3) critical dialogue analysis of how feminist theory adapts to platform capitalism and networked activism, and (4) a Global comparative approach examining feminist theory's reception in Global South contexts, including Indonesia and Tanzania.

Data are drawn from secondary sources: journal articles, theses, research reports, and case studies analyzed thematically and comparatively to capture the interrelations among theories without flattening their distinct epistemological and political commitments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section examines fourteen schools of feminist theory across the four waves, outlining their theoretical propositions, key thinkers, and contributions to gender scholarship, and considers how each has been reinterpreted within Global South, postcolonial contexts.

Liberal Feminism

Liberal feminism emerged in the first wave, catalyzed by Enlightenment thinkers including Mary Wollstonecraft (*A Vindication of the Rights of Woman*, 1792) and John Stuart Mill and Harriet Taylor Mill (*The Subjection of Women*, 1869) ([Butt, 2020](#)). Grounded in liberty, equality, and individual rights, it holds that women and men possess equal rational and moral capacities and therefore deserve equal rights before the law ([Tong, 1989](#)); gender inequality is attributed to discriminatory laws and institutions, remedied through legal and institutional reform, equal access to education and employment, and political participation ([Li, 2023](#)).

Liberal feminism has been criticized by radical feminists for not addressing patriarchy's structural roots, by Marxist feminists for ignoring class and economic structures, and by postcolonial feminists for centering white, middle-class Western experience ([Pandeewari & Hariharasudan, 2022](#)); critics also note that its rights-based, assimilationist orientation can leave underlying gendered institutions unchallenged ([Kumar, 2025](#)). Nonetheless, it remains foundational to global women's movements; in Indonesia, the Islamic organization Aisyiyah has advanced female literacy and social welfare in ways consistent with liberal feminist values of empowerment through education ([Amini, 2021](#)).

Marxist and Socialist Feminism

Marxist feminism emerged in the second wave, building on Engels' *The Origin of the Family, Private Property and the State* (1884), which traced women's subordination to private property and the nuclear family ([Armstrong, 2020](#); [Federici, 2018](#)). Where radical feminists locate oppression in patriarchy alone, Marxist feminists Alexandra Kollontai, Eleanor Marx, Margaret Benston, and Peggy Morton among them analyze it as inseparable from capitalist exploitation ([Ge, 2025](#)); socialist feminism subsequently merged Marxist class analysis with critiques of patriarchy, treating women's unpaid domestic and reproductive labor as essential to capitalist economies ([Hubbard, 2022](#)).

In the Global South, Tanzania's post-independence Ujamaa socialism opened space for women's productive participation, though unpaid agricultural and domestic labor persisted ([Makulilo & Bakari, 2021](#)); in Indonesia, socialist-feminist critique has targeted the exploitation of women in low-wage garment and electronics manufacturing under neoliberal globalization ([Sukmana, 2018](#)).

Marxist/socialist feminism critiques liberal feminism's focus on individual rights for ignoring structural inequality ([Federici, 2018](#)), but has itself been criticized by radical feminists for reducing patriarchy to economics

([Hartmann, 2020](#); [Lewis et al., 2023](#)) and by Black feminists for minimizing race within class-centered analysis ([Hitchens, 2025](#)).

Radical Feminism

Radical feminism developed in the late 1960s–1970s, with Kate Millett’s *Sexual Politics* (1970) and Mary Daly’s *Gyn/Ecology* (1978) analyzing how literature, family, religion, and language sustain patriarchal dominance ([Duriesmith & Meger, 2020](#); [Widyawati et al., 2021](#)); Andrea Dworkin and Catharine MacKinnon extended the critique to pornography and sexual violence. Radical feminists hold that patriarchy male power over women is the most fundamental and universal form of oppression, underlying family, culture, sexuality, and religion ([Grosser & Tyler, 2021](#)), summarized in the slogan ‘the personal is political.’ MacKinnon’s legal theory argues that law itself has been built around male experience, historically permitting harms such as the marital rape exemption ([Kumar, 2025](#); [Bhattacharya et al., 2022](#)).

Globally, radical feminist ideas have informed activism against gender-based violence and harmful cultural practices, exemplified by Tanzania’s Tanzania Gender Networking Programme ([Mabeyo et al., 2025](#)). Radical feminism critiques liberal feminism’s reliance on legal equality and Marxist feminism’s subordination of gender to class ([Vukoičić, 2017](#); [Lewis et al., 2023](#)), while critics counter that its focus on universal patriarchy underattends to intersectional differences in vulnerability and access to justice ([Mehta & Tiwari, 2021](#); [Dash, 2021](#)).

Existential Feminism

Founded by Simone de Beauvoir in *The Second Sex* (1949), existential feminism applies Sartrean existentialism ‘existence precedes essence’ to gender, arguing that ‘one is not born, but rather becomes, a woman’: femininity is not biological destiny but a social construction ([Mandokhail & Mnazoor, 2024](#); [Ritu, 2024](#)). De Beauvoir contends that patriarchal culture confines women to immanence passivity, dependence, domesticity while reserving transcendence and self-determination for men; liberation requires women to reject imposed identities and exercise existential agency through conscious, responsible choice.

Compared with liberal feminism’s legal reformism and Marxist feminism’s economic focus, de Beauvoir insists that psychological and existential freedom are equally essential ([Butt, 2020](#); [Armstrong, 2020](#)). The theory influenced works such as Betty Friedan’s *The Feminine Mystique* (1963), but has been criticized by bell hooks, Audre Lorde, and Judith Butler for Eurocentrism and an unexamined gender binary, and by postcolonial feminists for universalizing Western women’s experience.

Psychoanalytic Feminism

Psychoanalytic feminism, developed from the 1970s by Juliet Mitchell (*Psychoanalysis and Feminism*, 1974), Luce Irigaray, Julia Kristeva, Hélène Cixous, and Nancy Chodorow, reworks Freudian and Lacanian theory to explain how patriarchy is reproduced unconsciously through early childhood socialization, language, and desire ([Kamber, 2016](#); [Salecl, 1995](#); [Vachhani, 2012](#)). Rather than rejecting Freud and Lacan outright, these theorists reinterpret psychic processes of femininity and motherhood to explain why gender hierarchy persists despite legal and social reform.

In Indonesian and Tanzanian contexts, idealized maternal identities (‘ibu’, ‘mama’) illustrate how internalized cultural expectations of self-sacrifice both empower and constrain women ([Hibbs, 2022](#)). The theory critiques liberal and Marxist feminism for neglecting psychological reproduction of patriarchy, while reformulating Freud’s claims of female inferiority as products of patriarchal culture rather than biological fact ([Mojab & Carpenter, 2019](#); [Duriesmith & Meger, 2020](#); [Mohajan, 2022](#)).

Cultural Feminism

Emerging in the late 1970s–1980s through Mary Daly (*Gyn/Ecology*, 1978), Carol Gilligan (*In a Different Voice*, 1982), Adrienne Rich, and Robin Morgan, cultural feminism reframes women’s difference from men not as inferiority but as a moral and social resource grounded in empathy, care, and connectedness ([B. Wolff & Gaytán, 2024](#); [Christou, 2024](#)). Rather than dismantling gender difference as radical feminism urges, it calls for celebrating and revaluing distinctly female values, knowledge, and culture.

Cultural feminism shares radical feminism's view of patriarchy as the root of oppression but diverges in strategy: where radical feminism seeks equality through confronting patriarchal power, cultural feminism seeks reevaluation of femininity itself (Vukoičić, 2017). It has drawn sustained criticism from socialist and materialist feminists for essentializing womanhood and ignoring race, class, and culture (Armstrong, 2020), and from bell hooks, Audre Lorde, and Elizabeth Spelman for reflecting predominantly white, middle-class experience (Scharff, 2024); postmodern and intersectional feminists similarly challenge its universalism (Li, 2023).

Black Feminism

Rooted in nineteenth-century figures such as Sojourner Truth ('Ain't I a Woman?', 1851) and developed through Patricia Hill Collins (*Black Feminist Thought*, 1990), Black feminism emerged in the 1970s–1980s as a response to the racial blindness of mainstream feminism and the gender blindness of Black liberation movements (Hitchens, 2025; Mohajan, 2022). It holds that Black women's oppression cannot be separated from the intersection of patriarchy, racism, capitalism, and heterosexism, contributing the concepts of intersectionality and the matrix of domination to feminist theory (B. Wolff & Gaytán, 2024).

Beyond the United States, Black feminist analysis illuminates intersecting race-gender-class oppression elsewhere. South African women under apartheid faced racism, patriarchal tribal/colonial systems, and economic exploitation. Black feminism critiques liberal feminism's universalism, radical feminism's race-blind patriarchy, and Marxist feminism's class-centrism, though some scholars caution that emphasizing racial-cultural distinction risks fragmenting feminist solidarity.

Ecofeminism

Coined by Françoise d'Eaubonne (*Le Féminisme ou la Mort*, 1974), ecofeminism links women's oppression to ecological destruction under a shared patriarchal logic of domination and control (Mohajan, 2022; Siegel, 2024); Carolyn Merchant's *The Death of Nature* (1980) and Vandana Shiva's *Staying Alive* (1988) extended this critique to Western development models that exploit both women and nature in the Global South (Orea-Giner, 2025).

Grassroots manifestations include India's Chipko Movement against commercial logging, Kenya's Green Belt Movement founded by Wangari Maathai (1977), and Indonesia's Women and Gender in Natural Resources Management initiative, which integrates gender perspectives into resource policy alongside Aisyiyah's eco-friendly livelihood programs (Hasanah, 2024; Sulistiani & Indriyany, 2025). Ecofeminism critiques liberal feminism's narrow institutional focus, Marxist/socialist feminism's anthropocentrism, and radical feminism's neglect of ecological concerns, but has itself been criticized by intersectional feminists for essentializing the woman–nature connection and overlooking class, race, and cultural variation (Few-Demo & Allen, 2020).

Postcolonial Feminism

Postcolonial feminism arose in the late twentieth century through Chandra Talpade Mohanty (*Under Western Eyes*, 1988) and Gayatri Spivak (*Can the Subaltern Speak?*, 1988), critiquing Western feminism's tendency to universalize women's experience and erase colonial history and cultural difference (Mert, 2024; Mert & Ünsal, 2022). Drawing on Edward Said, Spivak, and Homi Bhabha, it situates women's oppression within colonial history, global capitalism, class, and religion, demanding decolonized, contextually specific feminist knowledge, with key concepts including subaltern feminism, intersectionality, and strategic essentialism (Ballestrin, 2022; Kumar, 2025).

In Indonesia, postcolonial feminism illuminates how organizations such as Aisyiyah negotiate colonial, religious, and state ideologies in women's nationalist and religious activism. The theory critiques liberal feminism's individualism and Western bias and Marxist/socialist feminism's neglect of colonialism and race, while itself being critiqued by postmodern feminists as insufficiently attentive to political realities.

Postmodern / Post-structural Feminism

Emerging in the 1990s through Judith Butler, Luce Irigaray, Julia Kristeva, and Hélène Cixous, and drawing on Foucault, Derrida, and Lacan, postmodern/post-structural feminism rejects fixed categories of identity, gender, and 'woman' (Mohajan, 2022). Butler's *Gender Trouble* (1990) argues that gender is performative produced through repeated, stylized acts rather than expressing a pre-existing essence extending de Beauvoir's claim that 'one is not born, but rather becomes, a woman' into an ongoing, context-dependent process (Castañeda et al., 2002). Following

Foucault, these theorists treat medical, legal, and scientific discourse as actively constructing gendered subjects, while Derridean deconstruction exposes binaries such as male/female as unstable hierarchies rather than natural facts (Alcoff, 1988).

Postmodern feminism critiques liberal feminism for assuming a common female experience, Marxist/socialist feminism for economic determinism, and radical feminism for biological essentialism about patriarchy. It has, in turn, been criticized for neglecting material oppression and overreliance on Western psychoanalytic binaries, though it remains influential for challenging fixed gender categories and opening space for cross-cultural feminist discourse (Kumar, 2025).

Intersectional Feminism

Coined by Kimberlé Crenshaw in 'Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex' (1989) and extended in Patricia Hill Collins' concept of the matrix of domination (1990), intersectional feminism holds that race, gender, and other social categories combine to produce distinct experiences of discrimination and privilege that cannot be examined separately (Li, 2023; Mohajan, 2022; Roth, 2021). Crenshaw's analysis emerged from observing how Black women were marginalized within both anti-racist and feminist movements.

In Indonesia, intersectional analysis illuminates how religion, class, ethnicity, and local culture jointly shape women's roles within organizations such as Aisyiyah. Intersectional feminism critiques liberal feminism for neglecting class and race, radical feminism for generalizing women's experience, and postmodern feminism for prioritizing discourse over institutional change (Few-Demo & Allen, 2020), while facing its own criticism for being depoliticized or co-opted in ways that obscure its origins in Black feminist thought (Mulvey & Keller, 2023).

Islamic Feminism

Founded by Amina Wadud (*Qur'an and Woman*, 1992/1999; *Inside the Gender Jihad*, 2006), Fatima Mernissi (*The Veil and the Male Elite*, 1991), and Asma Barlas (*Believing Women in Islam*, 2002), Islamic feminism argues that the Qur'an affirms the equality of men and women, and that patriarchal interpretations are historically and culturally contingent rather than divinely mandated (Fidhayanti et al., 2024; Barlas, 2019). These scholars advocate ijthad-independent reinterpretation as a means of separating divine principle from patriarchal exegesis, enabling women's religious authority and leadership (Barlas, 2023).

In Indonesia, this approach directly informs Aisyiyah's efforts to reinterpret Islamic texts in support of female leadership and justice, demonstrating that religious faith and feminist empowerment can be mutually reinforcing (Ali & Wadud, 2019).

Transnational Feminism

Developed in the late 1990s–2000s by Inderpal Grewal, Caren Kaplan, Jacqui Alexander, and Chandra Mohanty, transnational feminism critiques universalizing feminist approaches that fail to account for how globalization, neoliberalism, and transnational capitalism shape women's lives differently across contexts (Allen & Cova, 2022). Grewal and Kaplan's concept of 'scattered hegemonies' frames capitalism, nationalism, and patriarchy as operating through intersecting structures rather than a single dominant system (De Almagro, 2018), insisting that women's struggles are globally interconnected yet shaped by distinct differences of race, class, sexuality, and nation (González, 2022).

A key concept is the global care chain, in which women from the Global South migrate to wealthier countries for care work, often at the emotional cost of transnational motherhood, revealing how reproductive labor is commodified and racialized under neoliberal globalization (Elhinnawy, 2023). Transnational feminism critiques liberal feminism for disregarding global inequality and calls for materialist attention to labor exploitation and migration (Kelly-Thompson et al., 2024; Goetz, 2020).

Digital Feminism

Digital feminism emerged from 1990s postfeminist media culture and theoretical precursors including Donna Haraway's *Cyborg Manifesto* (1985), consolidating in the 2010s around hashtag campaigns such as #MeToo and #YesAllWomen that reshape feminist practice through networked visibility (Hearn & Banet-Weiser, 2020). Sarah

Banet-Weiser’s concept of ‘popular feminism’ describes how feminist politics has become entangled with branding, self-presentation, and platform visibility, alongside organized digital misogyny such as Gamergate.

Digital feminism argues that technology *simultaneously* expands feminist organizing through transnational hashtag activism bypassing traditional gatekeepers (Yin & Sun, 2020) and reproduces inequality through cybersexism, harassment, and unequal digital access shaped by age, class, geography, and technical literacy (Scharff, 2024).

The theory critiques liberal feminism’s assumption that technology inherently empowers women, given persistent exclusions in digital sisterhood and femtech (Hendl & Jansky, 2021), and highlights the tech industry’s continued white, male-dominated culture (Crandall et al., 2021). Materialist and *intersectional* critics counter that digital feminism overemphasizes representation and discourse while neglecting the material exploitation of racialized, feminized digital labor and the corporate cooptation of feminist branding (Rodríguez-Modroño et al., 2024; Fox, 2023; Mendes, 2021).

Table 1. Condensed Overview of Feminist Theory

Wave of Emergence	Types of Feminism	Period / Year	Main Figures	Key Words	Main Concern
First Wave	Liberal Feminism	18th–19th c. (1790s–1920s)	Mary Wollstonecraft, J.S. Mill, Betty Friedan	Equality, rights, education, reform	Legal and political equality
Second Wave	Marxist/Socialist Feminism	Late 19th–mid 20th c.	Marx, Engels, Heidi Hartmann	Class, capitalism, patriarchy	Capitalism and patriarchy combined oppression
Second Wave	Radical Feminism	1960s–1970s	Kate Millett, Shulamith Firestone	Patriarchy, power, sexuality	Patriarchy as primary source of oppression
Second Wave	Existential Feminism	1940s–1960s	Simone de Beauvoir	Freedom, autonomy	Woman as the Other
Second Wave	Cultural Feminism	1970s–1980s	Carol Gilligan, Mary Daly	Difference, care, femininity	Valuing women’s distinct traits
2nd–3rd Wave	Black Feminism	1970s–1980s	bell hooks, Patricia Hill Collins	Race, gender, class, intersectionality	Intersecting oppression of Black women
Third Wave	Postcolonial Feminism	1980s–1990s	Chandra Mohanty, Gayatri Spivak	Colonialism, Global South, power	Critique of Western feminism
Third Wave	Psychoanalytic Feminism	1970s–1980s	Nancy Chodorow, Juliet Mitchell	Identity, desire, unconscious	Psychological reproduction of patriarchy

Third Wave	Intersectional Feminism	Late 1980s	Kimberlé Crenshaw	Intersectionality, identity	Overlapping systems of oppression
Third Wave	Postmodern Feminism	1990s	Judith Butler	Discourse, performativity	Questioning fixed identities
Third Wave	Islamic Feminism	1990s	Amina Wadud, Fatima Mernissi, Asma Barlas	Ijtihad, gender equality	Reinterpreting Islamic text on gender
Third Wave	Ecofeminism	1970s–1990s	Vandana Shiva, Carolyn Merchant	Environment, sustainability	Link between oppression of women and nature
Fourth Wave	Transnational Feminism	2000s–present	Inderpal Grewal, Caren Kaplan	Globalization, migration	Global gender justice across borders
Fourth Wave	Digital Feminism	2010s–present	#MeToo activists	Hashtag activism, networked misogyny	Combating harassment via digital platforms

Source: Author constructed from various sources (2026)

CONCLUSION

From its origins in the late eighteenth century to its contemporary global expressions, feminist theory has evolved into one of the most *dynamic* and transformative bodies of social thought moving from a response to women's exclusion from public life toward a multidimensional framework for examining power, inequality, and identity. Across its many branches, from liberal feminism's demand for legal equality to postcolonial feminism's critique of imperialism, from ecofeminism's environmental ethics to transnational feminism's global solidarity, the tradition continually redefines itself in response to emerging forms of patriarchy and oppression. Feminist theory is therefore best understood not as a single ideology but as an interconnected, pluralistic network of perspectives through which gender operates as a system of power. It remains an unfinished, collective project of redefining human relations on the basis of justice, equality, and dignity for all.

REFERENCES

- Alcoff, L. (1988). Cultural Feminism versus Post-Structuralism: The Identity Crisis in Feminist Theory. In *Source: Signs* (Vol. 13, Issue 3).
- Ali, K., & Wadud, A. (2019). The Making Of The "Lady Imam": An Interview with amina wadud. *Journal of Feminist Studies in Religion*, 35, 67–79. <https://doi.org/10.2979/jfemistudreli.35.1.06>
- Allen, A., & Cova, A. (2022). Introduction: transnational women's activism. *Women's History Review*, 32, 165–171. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09612025.2022.2100566>
- Amini, M. (2021). *Aisyiyah and The Problem of Women's Social Movement in Indonesia: A Historical Perspective*. 1, 35–50. <https://doi.org/10.31101/joas.v1i1.2079>
- Armstrong, E. (2020). *Marxist and Socialist Feminisms*. 35–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119314967.ch3>

- B. Wolff, K., & Gaytán, M. S. (2024). Cultural Feminism. In *The Blackwell Encyclopedia of Sociology* (pp. 1–3). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405165518.wbeosc174.pub2>
- Ballestrin, L. (2022). Postcolonial and decolonial subaltern feminisms. *Postcolonial Studies*, 25, 108–127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13688790.2022.2030906>
- Barlas, A. (2019). Reading the word in a foreign tongue: Islam’s scripture and non-Arab Muslims†. *WORD*, 65, 61–68. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00437956.2019.1567038>
- Barlas, A. (2023). When Gender Is a Problem in Islamic Theology and Qur’anic Tafsir. *Forum*. <https://doi.org/10.5771/2748-923x-2023-1-3>
- Best, B. (2021). Wages for Housework Redux: Social Reproduction and the Utopian Dialectic of the Value-form. *Theory & Event*, 24, 896–921. <https://doi.org/10.1353/tae.2021.0052>
- Bhattacharya, T., Farris, S., & Ferguson, S. (2022). Social Reproduction Feminisms. *The SAGE Handbook of Marxism*. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781529714371.n4>
- Butt, H. (2020). *The Limits of Unlearning: Liberal Feminism from the Postcolonial Perspective*. <https://consensus.app/papers/the-limits-of-unlearning-liberal-feminism-from-the-butt/c7eafd10bc795f379ed45b5d8c96d40d/>
- Castañeda, C., Grewal, I., Kaplan, C., & Wiegman, R. (2002). *The Child, in Theory: Post-structuralism, Feminism, and Psychoanalysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822383895-006>
- Christou, A. (2024). Ecofeminism and the Cultural Affinity to Genocidal Capitalism: Theorising Necropolitical Femicide in Contemporary Greece. *Social Sciences*, 13(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/soesci13050263>
- Cochran, M. (2022). The Oxford Handbook of Feminist Philosophy. *International Affairs*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190628925.001.0001>
- Crandall, E., Brown, R., & McMahon, J. (2021). Magicians of the Twenty-first Century: Enchantment, Domination, and the Politics of Work in Silicon Valley. *Theory & Event*, 24, 841–873. <https://doi.org/10.1353/tae.2021.0045>
- Dash, P. (2021). Feminism and Its Discontents: Punishing Sexual Violence in India. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 28, 7–28. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971521520974843>
- Dayerizadeh, R. (2020). *Critical international relations feminism: the case of American Shia women*. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788112895.00027>
- De Almagro, M. M. (2018). Lost boomerangs, the rebound effect and transnational advocacy networks: a discursive approach to norm diffusion. *Review of International Studies*, 44, 672–693. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0260210518000086>
- Disch, L., & Hawkesworth, M. (2016). *The Oxford handbook of feminist theory*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199328581.001.0001>
- Durriesmith, D., & Meger, S. (2020). Returning to the root: Radical feminist thought and feminist theories of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*, 46, 357–375. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0260210520000133>
- Elhinnawy, H. (2023). The role of difference in feminist transnational solidarity: secular Muslim feminists in the United Kingdom and France. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 25, 593–614. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2023.2206828>
- Elias, S., & Yahya, N. (2022). Feminisms Stream Role to Developing Womans Political Position. *Journal of University of Raparin*. [https://doi.org/10.26750/vol\(9\).no\(2\).paper12](https://doi.org/10.26750/vol(9).no(2).paper12)
- Federici, S. (2018). Marx and Feminism. *TripleC: Communication, Capitalism & Critique. Open Access Journal for a Global Sustainable Information Society*, 16, 468–475. <https://doi.org/10.31269/triplec.v16i2.1004>
- Few-Demo, A. L., & Allen, K. R. (2020). Gender, Feminist, and Intersectional Perspectives on Families: A Decade in Review. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 82(1), 326–345. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12638>
- Fidhayanti, D., Muhammad, M., Rofiq, M. A., Fuadi, M. R., Hakim, A., & Makkij, N. C. B. (2024). Rethinking Islamic Feminist Thought on Reinterpreting the Qur’an: An Analysis of the Thoughts of Aminah Wadud,



- Fatima Mernissi, Asma Barlas, and Riffat Hassan. *Tribakti: Jurnal Pemikiran Keislaman*.
<https://doi.org/10.33367/tribakti.v35i1.4956>
- Fotaki, M., & Pullen, A. (2023). Feminist Theories and Activist Practices in Organization Studies. *Organization Studies*, 45, 593–616. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01708406231209861>
- Fox, I. (2023). HASHTAGS, HIERARCHIES AND HEGEMONIES: MAINSTREAM DIGITAL FEMINIST ACTIVISM AND INTERSECTIONAL CRITIQUES. *AoIR Selected Papers of Internet Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.5210/spir.v2022i0.13001>
- Ge, Y. (2025). Reproductive Labour and Social Emancipation in a Marxist Feminist Perspective. *Advances in Philosophy*. <https://doi.org/10.12677/acpp.2025.144186>
- Goetz, A. (2020). The New Competition in Multilateral Norm-Setting: Transnational Feminists & the Illiberal Backlash. *Daedalus*, 149, 160–179. https://doi.org/10.1162/daed_a_01780
- González, M. F. L. (2022). Between National and International: Women’s Transnational Activism in Twentieth-Century Chile. *International Review of Social History*, 67, 49–74.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0020859021000687>
- Grant, J. (2020). *Fundamental Feminism*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315660325>
- Grosser, K., & Tyler, M. (2021). Sexual Harassment, Sexual Violence and CSR: Radical Feminist Theory and a Human Rights Perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 177, 217–232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04724-w>
- Han, L., & Liu, Y. (2024). When digital feminisms collide with nationalism: Theorizing “pink feminism” on Chinese social media. *Women’s Studies International Forum*, 105.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2024.102941>
- Hartmann, H. (2020). *The Unhappy Marriage of Marxism and Feminism*. 131–140.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003001201-17>
- Hasanah, U. (2024). The role of the young women’s organization Nasyyatul Aisyiyah in Indonesia in interfaith collaborative action through environmental approaches. *International Journal for Religious Freedom*.
<https://doi.org/10.59484/wlmz4241>
- Hearn, A., & Banet-Weiser, S. (2020). The Beguiling: Glamour in/as Platformed Cultural Production. *Social Media + Society*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305119898779>
- Hendl, T., & Jansky, B. (2021). Tales of self-empowerment through digital health technologies: a closer look at ‘Femtech.’ *Review of Social Economy*, 80, 29–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00346764.2021.2018027>
- Hibbs, L. (2022). “I could do that!”– The role of a women’s non-governmental organisation in increasing women’s psychological empowerment and civic participation in Wales. *Women’s Studies International Forum*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2021.102557>
- Hitchens, B. (2025). Street Participatory Action Research (Street PAR) With Hard-to-Reach Black Women and Girls: Advancing an Intersectional Criminological Approach. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/10439862251341436>
- Hubbard, M. (2022). Silvia Federici. *Patriarchy of the Wage: Notes of Marx, Gender, and Feminism*. Oakland, CA: PM Press, 2021. 151p. Paper, \$15 (ISBN: 978-1629637990). *College & Research Libraries*.
<https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.83.3.531>
- Kamber, N. K. (2016). Feminism and Psychoanalysis. In *The Wiley Blackwell Encyclopedia of Gender and Sexuality Studies* (pp. 1–10). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118663219.wbegss471>
- Kark, R., & Buengeler, C. (2024). Wo~Men and Leadership: Re-Thinking the State of Research on Gender and Leadership Through Waves of Feminist Thinking. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 31, 245–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15480518241257105>
- Kelly-Thompson, K., Lusvardi, A., Forester, S., & Weldon, L. (2024). Dimensions of Transnational Feminism: Autonomous Organizing, Multilateralism and Agenda-Setting in Global Civil Society. *Perspectives on Politics*, 22, 1179–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1537592724000677>

- Kumar, A. (2025). Western Feminism and Indian Womenhood- A Postmodern Analysis. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i02.39507>
- Lewis, J., Marsden, S., Cherney, A., Zeuthen, M., Bélanger, J., Zubareva, A., Brandsch, J., & Lubrano, M. (2023). PROTOCOL: Case management interventions seeking to counter radicalisation to violence: A systematic review of tools and approaches. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1301>
- Li, Y. (2023). From liberal to intersectional: Reframing feminism advocacy. *SHS Web of Conferences*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202318003029>
- Mabeyo, Z., Nyoni, J., Yusuf, D., & Manyama, W. (2025). Navigating Tensions between Indigenous Norms and International Frameworks Protecting Women from Gender-Based Violence in Tanzania. *Journal of Comparative Social Work*. <https://doi.org/10.31265/jcsw.v20i1.736>
- Makulilo, A., & Bakari, M. (2021). Building a transformative feminist movement for women empowerment in Tanzania: the role of the Tanzania Gender Networking Programme (TGNP-Mtandao). *Africa Review*, 13, 155–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09744053.2021.1936998>
- Mandokhail, Z. A., & Mnazoor, S. (2024). AN EXPLORATION OF SADAT HASSAN MANTO'S SELECTED SHORT STORIES FROM EXISTENTIALIST FEMINIST PERSPECTIVE. In *Journal of Applied Linguistics and TESOL (JALT)* (Vol. 7, Issue 4).
- Mehta, K., & Tiwari, A. (2021). Between Sexual Violence and Autonomy: Rethinking the Engagement of the Indian Women's Movement with Criminal Law. *German Law Journal*, 22, 860–877. <https://doi.org/10.1017/glj.2021.40>
- Mendes, K. (2021). Digital feminist labour: the immaterial, aspirational and affective labour of feminist activists and fempreneurs. *Women's History Review*, 31, 693–712. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09612025.2021.1944353>
- Mert, M. K. (2024). Looking at Post-Colonial Feminism: A Reading through the Views of Maria Mies. *Anadolu Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*. <https://doi.org/10.18037/ausbd.1415813>
- Mert, M. K., & Ünsal, Z. N. (2022). Postcolonial Feminist Theory: The Case of India. *Uluslararası İnsan Çalışmaları Dergisi*. <https://doi.org/10.35235/uicd.1026800>
- Mohajan, H. K. (2022). An Overview on the Feminism and Its Categories. *Research and Advances in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.56397/rae.2022.09.02>
- Mojab, S., & Carpenter, S. (2019). Marxism, feminism, and “intersectionality.” *Journal of Labor and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wusa.12409>
- Mulvey, A. P., & Keller, J. M. (2023). Brooms and Ballots: #WitchTheVote, the Nostalgic Internet, and Intersectional Feminist Politics on Instagram. In *Social Media and Society* (Vol. 9, Issue 4). SAGE Publications Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051231205594>
- Orea-Giner, A. (2025). Ecofeminism and food activism in transformative travel as a tool for change. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2025.2488962>
- Pandeewari, D., & Hariharasudan, A. (2022). The Legitimacy of Liberal Feminism in Preeti Shenoy's Select Texts. *Jordan Journal of Modern Languages and Literatures*. <https://doi.org/10.47012/jjml.14.4.18>
- Ritu, R. A. (2024). Existential angst in Sylvia Plath: A literary critique. *Journal of ELT and Education*, 7(2), 26–31. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11431242>
- Rodríguez-Modroño, P., Agenjo-Calderón, A., & López-Igual, P. (2024). A social reproduction analysis of digital care platform work. *New Political Economy*, 29, 616–627. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2024.2317703>
- Roth, S. (2021). Intersectionality and coalitions in social movement research: A survey and outlook. *Sociology Compass*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12885>
- Salecl, R. (1995). 3-4 Article 13 Rights in Psychoanalytic and Feminist Perspective. In *Cardozo Law Review* (Vol. 16).
- Scharff, C. (2024). Feminist activists discuss practices of monetisation: Digital feminist activism, neoliberalism and subjectivity. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 27(3), 408–423. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13675494231188224>

- Siegel, L. (2024). Ecofeminism ↔ Intraconnectivism: working beyond binaries in environmental education. *Gender and Education*, 36(4), 328–344. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2024.2327429>
- Sukmana, O. (2018). *THE ISLAMIC WOMEN MOVEMENT FOR SOCIAL WELFARE IN INDONESIA: A STUDY ON WOMEN'S ORGANIZATION 'AISYIYAH' IN MALANG REGENCY, EAST JAVA - INDONESIA*. 4. <https://doi.org/10.33369/ajps.v4i1.4898>
- Sulistiani, S., & Indriyany, I. A. (2025). Ecofeminism and Urban Farming: Empowering Women Farmers in Serang City, Indonesia. *Government & Resilience*. <https://doi.org/10.62503/gr.v3i1.26>
- Tong, R. (1989). *Feminist Thought: A More Comprehensive Introduction*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315003221>
- Vachhani, S. J. (2012). The Subordination of the Feminine? Developing a Critical Feminist Approach to the Psychoanalysis of Organizations. *Organization Studies*, 33(9), 1237–1255. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840612448159>
- Varych, D. (2024). PREREQUISITES FOR THE EMERGENCE OF FEMINIST MOVEMENTS AND FOUR WAVES OF FEMINISM. *The Journal of V. N. Karazin Kharkov National University. Issues of Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.26565/2220-8089-2024-45-09>
- Vukoičić, J. (2017). *Radical feminism as a discourse in the theory of conflict*. 3. <https://doi.org/10.7251/socen1305033v>
- Widyawati, A., Pujiyono, P., & Rochaeti, N. (2021). Elimination of Sexual Violence in Feminist Legal Theory. *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jils.v6i2.48346>
- Yin, S., & Sun, Y. (2020). Intersectional digital feminism: assessing the participation politics and impact of the MeToo movement in China. *Feminist Media Studies*, 21, 1176–1192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2020.1837908>